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Functional foods and nutraceuticals are of special importance, particularly for their impact on human health and prevention of certain chronic diseases. Consequently, the production and properties of bioactive peptides have received an increasing scientific interest over past few years. Present work intends to compare the competence of metalloendopeptidases (“Protease N” and “Protease A”) with papain for getting functional peptides from hemp seed meal, which is an obligatory waste of hemp fiber production industry. As a measure of the functional potential hemp protein hydrolysates were analyzed for their antiradical properties in DPPH system. “Protease N” modified protein hydrolysate exhibited comparatively superior radical scavenging activity in DPPH system. Overall findings represent the importance of “Protease N,” as endopeptidase in getting peptides of good antiradical properties from various protein sources.

1. Introduction

Hemp, Cannabis sativa L., is a member of the hemp family Cannabaceae. C. sativa L., commonly referred to as hemp, is a widely cultivated plant of industrial importance, like food, fiber, and medicines. Historically hemp has been used primarily for the fiber cultigens and fiber preparations. C. sativa was most valued as a fiber source and only to a limited extent as an oilseed crop. Hemp, grown under license mostly in Canada, is the most publicized “new” crop of immense nutritional prospect. In March 1998, new regulations (under the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act) were provided to allow the commercial development of a hemp industry in Canada. Some articles have publicized hemp as “the new billion dollar crop,” stating that it “can be used to produce more than 25,000 products, ranging from dynamite to Cellophane.” Because of the lack of extensive researches in the field of the hemp seed utilization practices, this study emphasize the production of hemp seed based value added components. Hemp has high levels of vitamins A, C, and E and beta-carotene, and it has been reported as rich source of protein (25%), carbohydrates, minerals, and fiber [1, 2]. Hemp seed protein has been reported to have higher level of sulphur containing amino acids, with methionine content much higher than in soy bean protein isolate [1, 3]. Hemp seed has been confirmed as an excellent source of nutrition when fed to laying hens [4, 5] and pigeons [6]. The hemp protein fractions (mainly edestin and albumin) in hempseed have well-balanced amino acid compositions and easy digestibility [1]. From the seed, a methionine-and cystine-rich seed protein (10-kDa protein) has been isolated and identified [7]. In the hemp protein isolate (HPI), the edestin accounts for 80% of total hemp protein content [3]. Osborne [8, 9] reported the utility of globulin protein (edestin and edestan) from hempseed. The physicochemical and functional properties (especially protein solubility) of hemp protein isolate (HPI) are reported to be poorer in comparison to soy protein isolate (SPI) [3]. Although HPI has good potential to be applied as a source of protein nutrition, it shows much poorer functional properties, especially protein solubility, as compared to soy protein isolate (SPI) [3]. The poor functional properties might greatly limit the application of this protein in many food formulations. Many physical, chemical, and enzymatic treatments have been widely applied to modify the functional properties of plant proteins, with modification of protein structure [10, 11]. Studies have also
been carried out in search of potent bioactive peptides from a variety of inexpensive sources [12–14]. Usually, the enzymatic modification is more preferable due to milder process conditions required, easier control of the reaction, and minimal formation of by-products [15, 16]. In most modification processes, enzymatic hydrolysis has been most widely used to improve the functional properties of proteins, such as solubility, emulsification gelation, water and fat-holding capacities, and foaming ability, or to tailor the functionality of certain proteins to meet specific needs [17–19]. The aim of the present study is to produce hemp protein hydrolysate using a novel type membrane bioreactor with immobilized enzyme system to add value to the hemp meal and to improve the functionality hemp protein isolate.

### 2. Material and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials

Authentic low tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) containing hemp seeds were purchased from Granny Organics, Dehradun, with specification as presented in Table 1. α,α'-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and 2,2'-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Co., USA. "Protease N Amano G" and "Protease A Amano 2G" enzymes were kind gift from Amano enzyme Inc. Nagoa Japan. Specificity of all these food grade enzymes is illustrated in Table 2. The membrane bioreactor used for synthesis of the protein hydrolysate is mentioned in our previous study [20]. The module is a dead end module with volume 500 mL with enzyme immobilized on the membrane surface. The enzyme used in the study is a metalloendopeptidase which was a kind gift from Nagoa Japan. All other chemicals, except otherwise stated, were purchased from E. Merck, India.

#### 2.2. Preparation of Protein Isolate from Hemp Seed and Determination of Protein Content

Cold extracted hemp meal (HM) was prepared using hexane at 24 ± 1°C. Hemp protein isolate (HPI) was obtained from defatted hemp meal by isoelectric point precipitation [3]. HPI was used as the substrate for carrying out enzymatic hydrolysis process. Defatted hemp meal was mixed with 20-fold (w/v) deionized water at 30 ± 1°C, and the mixture was adjusted to pH 9.0 with 1N NaOH and stirred for 1h. Samples were centrifuged at 10000 xg for 20 min at 25°C. The supernatant was adjusted to pH 4.9 with 1N HCl, and the precipitate was collected by centrifugation (10000 xg, 10 min). The suspension was freeze-dried to produce HPI. Soluble protein content of hemp protein isolate (HPI) was measured following standard method of Lowry et al. [21], using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard at 750 nm.

#### 2.3. Preparation of Protein Hydrolysate, Evaluation of Degree of Hydrolysis, and Mass Distribution of Hydrolysates

Precipitated protein isolate was dissolved in DI water (1:20 w/v) and pH adjusted according to the specificity of the enzyme used with constant stirring to achieve desired degree of hydrolysis. To the homogeneous solution of the protein isolate, enzymes were added with varying enzyme to substrate ratio of 1/1000 to 1/50, and hydrolysis was carried out at 35 ± 2°C for 3 h. Hydrolysate was readily immersed in boiling water bath (95°C) for 1 min to inactivate the enzyme action. The solution was then stored at 4°C for further analysis.

In membrane bioreactor, the enzymes were immobilized on polyether sulfone membrane (3000 Da) surface using glutaraldehyde according to the techniques of Jasim et al. 1987 [22]. Immobilization was done with 10% glutaraldehyde in chilled phosphate buffer (0.05 M, pH 6.5). The design of the bioreactor is available elsewhere [23]. The reaction was continued in total recycle mode for 3 h to achieve desired degree of hydrolysis. After 3 h, permeate was collected and stored for further analysis at 4°C. No enzyme inactivation was done in this mode as enzyme system was immobilized on membrane surface and having high molecular weight.

Degree of hydrolysis was determined according to the method of Alder–Nissen, 1979 using 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid [24]. Sample solution (0.25 mL) is mixed in a test tube with 2.0 mL of phosphate buffer at pH-8.2. 2 mL of TNBS solution which was added and the test tube was shaken and placed in a water bath at 50°C for 60 min. During incubation, test tubes and the water bath maintained covered with aluminum foil as the blank reaction may be accelerated by exposure to light. After 60 minutes 4.0 mL of 0.1 (N) HCl was added to terminate the reaction, and the test tube was allowed to stand at room temperature for 30 min before the absorbance was read against water at 340 nm. Total number of amino groups were determined in a sample of 100% hydrolysate at 110°C or 24 h in 6 (N) HCl (10 mg sample in 4 mL HCl). The ratio of the number of amino acids in hydrolysate to total amino acid content is used as the measure of the degree of hydrolysis. The molecular weight distributions of protein hydrolysate and different peptide fractions were analyzed in RP-HPLC system (Cyber Lab, Millbury, USA) with "Phenomenex C18" column, (4.6 × 250 mm, 5 μm) at 25°C. Peptides were eluted at flow rate of 1 mL/minute with various gradient mixtures composed of solvent A (water with 1% acetonitrile and 0.01% trifluoroactic acid) and solvent B (acetonitrile with 0.01% trifluoroactic acid). The gradient elution condition was maintained as 100% solvent A for 5 minutes, 0–30% solvent B for 15 minutes, 30–50% solvent B for 25 minutes, and 50–100% solvent B for 35 minutes. Elution profile was monitored at 220 nm. Mass analysis of peptide fractions was done in Quadrupole-TOF Micromass Spectrometer (Water, USA).

#### 2.4. Radical Scavenging Activity (RSA) of Protein Hydrolysates

RSA of hemp peptide fractions were evaluated in DPPH...
Table 2: Specificity of the enzyme system (papain, Protease N Amano G, and Protease A Amano 2G) used for hydrolysis of hemp protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme Source</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Optimum temperature/pH</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papain (E.C. 3.4.22.2)</td>
<td>Papaya Cysteine protease</td>
<td>55–60°C/pH 5–7</td>
<td>10,000 U/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease N Amano G (E.C. 3.4.24.28)</td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis Metalloendopeptidase</td>
<td>55°C/pH 7.5</td>
<td>&gt;150,000 U/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease A Amano 2G (E.C. 3.4.24.39)</td>
<td>Aspergillus oryzae Metalloendopeptidase</td>
<td>50°C/pH 7.0</td>
<td>20,000 U/g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Yield of hemp protein isolate (w/w; dry basis) and characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>HPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield of HPI%</td>
<td>76.7 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein content %</td>
<td>86.4 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber %</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash %</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented as mean ± SEM, n = 3.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. All results are expressed as mean ± SEM (standard error of mean), of three determinations. Statistical analysis was done by analysis of variance (ANOVA). A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. MATLAB 7.0 software was used for statistical analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characteristics of the Hemp Protein Isolate and Hydrolysate. Compositional analysis of HPI showed comparatively less ash and fiber content in protein isolate as illustrated in Table 3. Each protein isolate (1g) was subjected to controlled hydrolysis with three enzyme systems with varying enzyme to substrate ratio (0.1–2 w/w%), to get respective protein hydrolysates, which are considered as source of small peptides having diverse activity profile. Effect of enzyme to substrate ratio on degree of hydrolysis (DH) of hemp protein isolate is illustrated in Table 4. For a specified enzyme to substrate ratio value "Protease N" showed better activity as compared to other two enzyme types. Similar observations were also observed for each enzyme concentration level. Analysis of variance shows that both "enzyme type" and "enzyme dose" have significant effect on DH ($p = 0.008$), but there is no synergistic/interactive effect of these two parameters ($p = 0.8771$). Since our objective was to optimize the peptide population and their activity, so, enzyme dose was limited to 2%; further increase in enzyme quantity was found to lower the activity of protein hydrolysates, which may be due to formation of more free amino acids and too small peptides with insignificant activity. Further studies were done to evaluate the activity profile of all protein hydrolysates as DH cannot simply be correlated with activity of protein hydrolysates. Molecular weight distribution pattern for protein hydrolysate obtained after 2h is presented in Figure 1. It represents the presence of several small peptides with molecular weight bellow 2000 Da which are often characterized and evaluated as bioactive peptides in previous publications [15–18].

3.2. DPPH-Radical Scavenging Behavior of Hemp Protein Hydrolysate. DPPH in methanol (absorption maxima at 517 nm) is a very stable free radical system used to assay the radical scavenging activity and antioxidant potential. Free radicals in induced oxidation system propagate the oxidative chain reactions and enhance the rate of oxidation. Any components having the properties of scavenging those free radicals can serve the purpose of antioxidant. According to Shimada et al. [26] when DPPH radical encounters a proton-donating substance, an antioxidant, the radical is scavenged...
Table 4: Effect of enzyme dose on degree of hydrolysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source material</th>
<th>% enzyme dose (w/w)</th>
<th>Papain (DH)</th>
<th>Protease N Amano G (DH)</th>
<th>Protease A Amano 2G (DH)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPI</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>24.7 ± 0.70</td>
<td>26.3 ± 0.54</td>
<td>25.4 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>28.6 ± 0.10</td>
<td>32.0 ± 0.13</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>30.0 ± 0.13</td>
<td>33.0 ± 0.21</td>
<td>30.8 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>31.3 ± 0.11</td>
<td>33.9 ± 0.09</td>
<td>32.0 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>33.3 ± 0.14</td>
<td>35.4 ± 0.43</td>
<td>33.7 ± 0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Results are presented as mean ± SEM, n = 3.

Table 5: DPPH radical scavenging activity in comparison commercial antioxidants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source material</th>
<th>Papain (EC_{50}) a mg/mL</th>
<th>Protease N (EC_{50}) mg/mL</th>
<th>Protease A (EC_{50}) mg/mL</th>
<th>Tocopherol (EC_{50}) mg/mL</th>
<th>TBHQ (EC_{50}) mg/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPI</td>
<td>2.51 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPH</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.02</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a EC_{50} concentration required for 50% reduction of DPPH radical concentration.

and the absorbance is reduced. The free radical is converted to more stable product thereby terminating the radical chain reactions. In our observation, protein hydrolysates exhibit strong radical scavenging activity when compared with synthetic antioxidant TBHQ and natural antioxidant tocopherol for their EC_{50} values (Table 5). Radical scavenging activity of these enzyme modified protein hydrolysates found to vary significantly (p < 0.05) with type of enzymes and degree of hydrolysis. During hydrolysis a mixture of small peptides and free amino acids are produced depending on enzyme specificity, and antioxidative potential of those peptide mixtures and amino acids depends on the sizes and the nature of the amino acid residue at the side chain of generated peptides. Jun et al. [27] have also highlighted the dependence of antioxidant activity on protease nature and hydrolysis condition employed. "Protease N" modified protein hydrolysate (P_{N}) exhibits maximum radical scavenging activity (8.77%) compared to "Protease A" (P_{A}-5.2%) and "papain" modified protein hydrolysate (P_{P}-3.06%) under similar hydrolyzing conditions and specified DH. This difference may be due to the variation of the nature of small peptides produced and also on the molecular weight distribution of the peptides. For a specific type of enzyme, radical scavenging activity also varies significantly with dose and degree of hydrolysis (Figure 2). Maximum radical scavenging activity for all protein hydrolysates was observed around 30% DH; activity further reduces on increasing degree of hydrolysis to 30%, for all enzyme types used in this study. Inverse correlation of the degree of hydrolysis with radical scavenging activity is may be due to the formation of free amino acid residue which exhibits comparatively lower radical scavenging properties as compared to short peptides.

4. Conclusions

This study aims to assay the activity of some commercial endoproteinase for production of protein hydrolysate as source of bioactive peptides from hemp seed meal. Protein hydrolysates were analyzed for their radical scavenging potential in DPPH radical system. "Protease N" modified protein hydrolysate exhibited superior radical scavenging activity compared to others at 30% degree of hydrolysis and showed better radical scavenging activity. These findings also point to the relevance of metalloendopeptidase "Protease N" from Bacillus subtilis, as promising proteinase in preparing bioactive peptides from various protein sources. Results imply that these protein hydrolysates can serve as preservatives in improving the "self-life" of food emulsions as a radical scavenging agent. Characterization of these protein
hydrolysates is beyond the scope of this study and hoped to be reported in our upcoming publications.
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