I. INTRODUCTION

The recent discoveries of the massive neutron stars PSR J0348 + 0432 [1] and PSR J1614–2230 [2] have brought new challenges for theories of dense matter beyond the nuclear saturation density. Recently, the radio timing measurements of the pulsar PSR J0348 + 0432 and its white dwarf companion have confirmed the mass of the pulsar to be in the range of 1.97–2.05 $M_\odot$ at 68.27% or 1.90–2.18 $M_\odot$ at 99.73% confidence [1]. This is only the second neutron star (NS) with a precisely determined mass around 2 $M_\odot$, after PSR J1614–2230, and has a 3σ lower mass limit 0.05 $M_\odot$ higher than the latter. It therefore provides the tightest reliable lower bound on the maximum mass of neutron stars.

Compact stars provide the perfect astrophysical environment for testing theories of cold and dense matter. Densities at the core of neutron stars can reach values of several times of $10^{15}$ gm/cm$^3$. At such high densities, the energies of the particles are high enough to favor the appearance of exotic particles in the core. Since the lifetime of neutron stars are much greater than those associated with the weak interaction, strangeness conservation can be violated in the core due to the weak interaction. This would result in the appearance of strange particles such as hyperons. The appearance of such particles produces new degrees of freedom, which results in a softer equation of state (EoS) in the neutron star interior.

The observable properties of compact stars depend crucially on the EoS. According to the existing models of dense matter, the presence of strangeness in the neutron star interior leads to a considerable softening of the EoS, resulting in a reduction of the maximum mass of the neutron star [3–6]. Therefore, many existing theories involving hyperons cannot explain the large pulsar masses [7]. Most relativistic models obtain maximum neutron star masses in the range 1.4–1.8 $M_\odot$ [8–15] when hyperons are included. Some authors have tackled this problem by including a strong vector repulsion in the strange sector or by pushing the threshold for the appearance of hyperons to higher densities [15–22].

In several studies the maximum neutron star masses were generally found to be lower than 1.6 $M_\odot$ [4–6,23–27], which is in contradiction with observed pulsar masses. However, neutron stars with maximum mass larger than 2 $M_\odot$ have been obtained theoretically. Bednarek et al. [28] achieved a stiffening of the EoS by using a nonlinear relativistic mean field (RMF) model with quartic terms involving the strange vector meson. Lastowiecki et al. [29] obtained massive stars including a quark matter core. Taurines et al. [30] achieved large neutron star masses including hyperons by considering a model with density-dependent coupling constants. The coupling constants were varied nonlinearly with the scalar field. Bonanno and Sedrakian [31] also modeled massive neutron stars including hyperons and a quark core by using a fairly stiff EoS and vector repulsion among quarks. The authors of Ref. [32] incorporated higher-order couplings in RMF theory in addition to kaonic interactions to obtain the maximum neutron star mass. Agrawal et al. [33] optimized the parameters of the extended RMF model by using a selected set of global observables which includes binding energies and charge radii for nuclei along several isotopic and isotonic chains and the isoscalar giant monopole resonance energies for the $^{90}$Zr and $^{208}$Pb nuclei. Weissborn et al. [34] investigated the vector-meson–hyperon coupling, going from the SU(6) quark model to a broader SU(3), and concluded that the maximum mass of a neutron star decreases linearly with the strangeness content of the neutron star core, independent of the nuclear EoS. On the other hand, H. Dapo et al. [6] found that, for several different bare hyperon-nucleon potentials and a wide
range of nuclear matter parameters the hyperons in neutron stars are always present.

The parameters of the RMF model are fit to the saturation properties of the infinite nuclear matter and/or the properties of finite nuclei. As a result, extrapolation to higher densities and asymmetry involve uncertainties. Three of these properties of the infinite nuclear matter are more precisely known: (a) the saturation density, (b) the binding energy, and (c) the asymmetry energy, compared with the remaining ones—the effective nucleon mass and the compression modulus of the nuclear matter. The uncertainty in the dense matter EoS is basically related to the uncertainty in these two saturation properties. It has been seen that, to reproduce the giant monopole resonance (GMR) in $^{208}$Pb, accurately fit nonrelativistic and relativistic models predict compression moduli in the symmetric nuclear matter ($K$) that differ by about 25%. The reason for this discrepancy is the density dependence of the symmetry energy. Moreover, the correlation alluded to between $K$ and the density dependence of the symmetry energy results in an underestimation of the frequency of oscillations of neutrons against protons; the so-called isovector giant dipole resonance (IVGDR) in $^{208}$Pb. FSUGold is a recently proposed accurately calibrated relativistic parametrization. It simultaneously describes the GMR in $^{90}$Zr and $^{208}$Pb and the IVGDR in $^{208}$Pb without compromising the success in reproducing the ground-state observables [35]. The main virtue of this parametrization is the softening of both the EoS of symmetric nuclear matter and the symmetry energy. This softening appears to be required for an accurate description of different collective modes having different neutron-to-proton ratios. As a result, the FSUGold effective interaction predicts neutron star radii that are too large and a maximum stellar mass that is too small [36].

The Indiana University Florida State University (IUFSU) interaction is a new relativistic parameter set, derived from FSUGold. It is simultaneously constrained by the properties of finite nuclei, their collective excitations, and the neutron star properties by adjusting two of the parameters of the theory—the neutron skin thickness of $^{208}$Pb and the maximum neutron star mass [37]. As a result the new effective interaction softens the EoS at intermediate densities and stiffens the EoS at high density. As it stands now, the new IUFSU interaction reproduces the binding energies and charge radii of closed-shell nuclei, various nuclear giant (monopole and dipole) resonances, the low-density behavior of pure neutron matter, the high-density behavior of the symmetric nuclear matter, and the mass-radius relationship of neutron stars. Whether this new EoS can accommodate the hyperons inside the compact stars, with the severe constraints imposed by the recent observations of $\sim 2 M_\odot$ pulsars, needs to be explored. In this work we make a detailed study of such a possibility. For this purpose we extended the IUFSU interaction by including the full baryon octet. A new EoS is constructed to investigate the neutron star properties with hyperons.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we briefly discuss the model used and the resulting EoS. In the next section we use this EoS to look at static and rotating star properties. We give a brief summary in Sec. IV.

II. IUFSU WITH HYPERONS

One of the possible approaches to describe neutron star matter is to adopt an RMF model subject to $\beta$ equilibrium and charge neutrality. For our investigation of nucleons and hyperons in the compact star matter we choose the full standard baryon octet as well as electrons and muons. Contribution from neutrinos are not taken into account by assuming that they can escape freely from the system. In this model, the baryon-baryon interaction is mediated by the exchange of scalar ($\sigma$), vector ($\omega$), isovector ($\rho$), and strange vector ($\phi$) mesons. The Lagrangian density we consider is given by [37]

$$
\mathcal{L} = \sum_B \bar{\psi}_B \left( i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_B + g_{\sigma B} \sigma \gamma^\mu \omega_\mu - g_{\omega B} \omega^\mu \phi_\mu \right) - \frac{g_{\rho B}}{2} \gamma^\mu \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\rho} \gamma^\mu + \frac{g_{\phi B}}{2} \gamma^\mu \phi_\mu \gamma^\nu \phi^\nu + \frac{\kappa}{3!} \left( g_{\sigma N} \sigma \gamma^\mu \omega_\mu \right)^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \left( g_{\sigma N} \sigma \gamma^\mu \omega_\mu \right)^4 - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega^\mu \omega_\mu + \frac{\kappa}{4!} \left( g_{\omega \omega} \omega^\mu \omega_\mu \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_\rho^2 \rho^\mu \cdot \rho_\mu - \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_0 \left( g_{\rho N} \rho^\mu \cdot \rho_\mu \right) \left( g_{\omega \omega} \omega^\mu \omega_\mu \right) + \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \phi^\mu \phi_\mu - \frac{1}{4} H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} + \sum_l \bar{\psi}_l \left( i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_l \right) \psi_l,
$$

where the symbol $B$ stands for the baryon octet ($p, n, \Lambda, \Sigma^+, \Sigma^0, \Sigma^-, \Xi^0, \Xi^-)$ and $l$ represents $e^-$ and $\mu^-$. The masses $m_B$, $m_p$, $m_n$, $m_\Lambda$, $m_\Sigma$, and $m_\Xi$ are, respectively, for baryons and for $\sigma$, $\omega$, $\rho$, and $\phi$ mesons. The antisymmetric tensors of vector mesons take the forms $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \rho_\nu - \partial_\nu \rho_\mu$, $G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu + g_{\sigma} \rho_\mu \rho_\nu + g_{\omega} \omega_\mu \omega_\nu$, and $H_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \phi_\nu - \partial_\nu \phi_\mu$. The isoscalar meson self-interactions (via $\kappa$ and $\lambda$, and $\zeta$ terms) are necessary for the appropriate EoS of the symmetric nuclear matter [38]. The additional isoscalar-isovector coupling ($\Lambda_0$) term is used to modify the density dependence of the symmetry energy and the neutron-skin thickness of heavy nuclei [36,37]. The meson-baryon coupling constants are given by $g_{\sigma B}$, $g_{\omega B}$, $g_{\rho B}$, and $g_{\phi B}$.

All the nucleon-meson parameters used in this work are shown in Table I. The saturation properties of the symmetric nuclear matter produced by IUFSU are saturation density $n_0 = 0.155$ fm$^{-3}$, binding energy per nucleon $E_B = -16.40$ MeV, and compression modulus $K = 231.2$ MeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$\omega^2$</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$</th>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$\zeta$</th>
<th>$\Lambda_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSU</td>
<td>112.1996</td>
<td>204.5469</td>
<td>138.4701</td>
<td>1.4203</td>
<td>0.023762</td>
<td>0.06 0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUFSU</td>
<td>99.4266</td>
<td>169.8349</td>
<td>184.6877</td>
<td>3.3808</td>
<td>0.000296</td>
<td>0.03 0.046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Parameter sets for the two models discussed in the text. The nucleon mass and the meson masses are kept fixed at $m_n = 939$ MeV, $m_p = 491.5$ MeV, $m_\Lambda = 782.5$ MeV, $m_\Sigma = 763$ MeV, and $m_\Xi = 1020$ MeV in both of the models.
The hyperon-meson couplings are taken from the SU(6) quark model [39,40] as

\[ g_{\rho \Lambda} = 0, \quad g_{\rho \Sigma} = 2g_{\rho \Xi} = 2g_{\rho N}, \]
\[ g_{\omega \Lambda} = g_{\omega \Sigma} = 2g_{\omega \Xi} = \frac{2}{3}g_{\omega N}, \]
\[ 2g_{\phi \Lambda} = 2g_{\phi \Sigma} = 2g_{\phi \Xi} = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}g_{\omega N}. \]

The scalar couplings are determined by fitting the hyperonic potential,

\[ U_Y^{(N)} = g_{\sigma Y} \sigma_0 + g_{\sigma Y} \sigma_0, \tag{2} \]

where \( Y \) stands for the hyperon and \( \sigma_0, \sigma_0 \) are the values of the scalar and vector meson fields at saturation density [9]. The values of \( U_Y^{(N)} \) are taken from the available hypernuclear data. The best known hyperonic potential is that of \( \Lambda \), having a value of about \( U_{\Lambda}^{(N)} = -30 \text{ MeV} \) [41]. In case of \( \Sigma \) and \( \Xi \) hyperons, the potential depths are not as clearly known as in the case of \( \Lambda \). However, analyses of laboratory experiments indicate that, at nuclear densities, the \( \Lambda \)-nucleon potential is attractive but the \( \Sigma^{-} \)-nucleon potential is repulsive [42]. Therefore, we have varied both \( U_{\Sigma}^{(N)} \) and \( U_{\Xi}^{(N)} \) in the range of \(-40 \text{ MeV} \) to \(+40 \text{ MeV} \) to investigate the properties of neutron star matter.

For neutron star matter, with baryons and charged leptons, the \( \beta \)-equilibrium conditions are guaranteed with the following relations between chemical potentials for different particles:

\[ \mu_\rho = \mu_{\Sigma^+} = \mu_\Lambda - \mu_\Sigma, \]
\[ \mu_\Lambda = \mu_{\Sigma^0} = \mu_{\Xi^0} = \mu_\Sigma, \]
\[ \mu_{\Sigma^-} = \mu_{\Xi^-} = \mu_\Sigma + \mu_\Sigma, \]
\[ \mu_\mu = \mu_\mu, \tag{3} \]

and the charge neutrality condition is fulfilled by

\[ n_\rho + n_{\Sigma^+} = n_\mu + n_{\Sigma^-} + n_{\Xi^-} + n_{\Xi^-}, \tag{4} \]

where \( n_i \) is the number density of the \( i \)th particle. The effective chemical potentials of baryons and leptons can be given by

\[ \mu_B = \sqrt{k_B^2 + m_B^2 + g_{\omega B} \omega + g_{\rho B} \tau_3 \rho}, \tag{5} \]
\[ \mu_l = \sqrt{K_F^l + m_l^2}, \tag{6} \]

where \( m_B^* = m_B - g_{\sigma B} \sigma \) is the baryon effective mass and \( K_F^l \) is the Fermi momentum of the lepton (\( e, \mu \)). The EoS of neutron star matter can be given by

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} m_\sigma^2 (\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2) + \frac{\kappa}{6} g_{\sigma N} \sigma^3 - \frac{\lambda}{24} \frac{g_{\sigma N}^4 \sigma^4}{g_{\omega N}} + \frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega^2 + \frac{\zeta}{8} \frac{g_{\omega N}^4 \omega^4}{g_{\omega N}}, \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} m_\rho^2 \rho^2 + 3 \Lambda_\rho g_{\rho N} g_{\omega N}^2 \omega^2 \rho^2 - 
\]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{B} \left( \frac{\gamma_B}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{K^l_B} k^2 + m_B^2 \right) d^3 k \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{l} \int_0^{K^l_F} \left( k^2 + m_l^2 \right)^{3/2} d^3 k, \]
\[ P = \frac{1}{2} m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{\kappa}{6} g_{\sigma N} \sigma^3 - \frac{\lambda}{24} \frac{g_{\sigma N}^4 \sigma^4}{g_{\omega N}} + \frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega^2 + 
\]
\[ + \frac{\zeta}{24} \frac{g_{\omega N}^4 \omega^4}{g_{\omega N}} + \Lambda_\rho g_{\rho N} g_{\omega N}^2 \omega^2 \rho^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_\rho^2 \rho^2 - 
\]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{B} \left( \frac{\gamma_B}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{K^l_B} k^2 + m_B^2 \right) d^3 k \]
\[ + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{l} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{K^l_F} \left( k^2 + m_l^2 \right)^{1/2} d^3 k, \]

where \( \varepsilon \) and \( P \) stand for energy density and pressure, respectively, and \( \gamma_B \) is the baryon spin-isospin degeneracy factor.

In Fig. 1 we plot the EoS for different values of the hyperonic potentials. The upper branch is for the usual nuclear matter which does not contain any strange particles.

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) EoS obtained with varying \( U_\Sigma^{(N)} \) at fixed \( U_\Xi^{(N)} \). The upper branch shows the EoS for a system containing nucleons, leptons, and all the nonstrange mesons. The middle branch shows the EoS for a system containing the whole baryon octet, the leptons, and the \( \sigma, \omega, \rho, \) and \( \phi \) mesons. The lower branch shows the EoS for the particles contained in the middle branch except \( \phi \). (b) EoS obtained with varying \( U_\Xi^{(N)} \) at fixed \( U_\Sigma^{(N)} \). The compositions of the upper, middle and lower branches are same as those of panel (a), respectively.](065806-3)
We then fix $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)}$ and vary $U_{\Xi}^{(N)}$. This is represented in Fig. 1(b), where we have fixed the value of $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)} = \pm 30$ MeV (adopted from hypernuclear experimental data [43]). We vary $U_{\Xi}^{(N)}$ from $-$40 MeV to $+$40 MeV. We see that, for the lower branch, i.e., the case without the $\phi$ meson, the EoS gets stiffer with the increase in the $\Xi$ potential up to $U_{\Xi}^{(N)} = 0$ MeV. However, for positive values of $U_{\Xi}^{(N)}$ the EoS remains unchanged. Adding an extra repulsion to the system by including the $\phi$ meson changes the scenario altogether. The EoS becomes totally independent of the $\Xi$ potential [middle branch of Fig. 1(b)]. From Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), one can generally conclude that the inclusion of $\phi$ meson makes the EoS stiffer; however, the hyperonic EoS is much softer than the usual nuclear matter EoS.

In Fig. 2 we plot the particle fractions for an attractive $\Sigma$ potential $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)} = -30$ MeV and a repulsive potential $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)} = +30$ MeV, keeping $U_{\Xi}^{(N)}$ fixed at $-18$ MeV, with and without $\phi$ in each case. From Fig. 2(a), when $\phi$ is not present, we see that all the hyperons contribute to the particle fractions for an attractive $\Sigma$ potential whereas for repulsive $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)}$ there is no $\Sigma$ present in the matter [Fig. 2(b)]. The appearance of $\Lambda$ is always pushed to higher density compared to the case of an attractive potential. When $\phi$ is included in the system $\Sigma^0$ and $\Sigma^-$ appear with $\Lambda$ for $U_{\Xi}^{(N)} = -30$ MeV [Fig. 2(c)]. However, for $U_{\Xi}^{(N)} = +30$ MeV [Fig. 2(d)], the threshold of $\Sigma^-$ is pushed to higher density compared to the case of $U_{\Xi}^{(N)} = -30$ MeV, $\Sigma^0$ disappears, and $\Sigma^-$ appears in the system. We also note that, in the case of the attractive $\Sigma$ potential, $\Sigma^-$ is always the first hyperon to appear in the system. For repulsive $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)}$, $\Sigma^-$ appears before others in the “$\sigma\omega\rho\phi$” case and $\Lambda$ is the first hyperon to appear in case of “$\sigma\omega\rho\phi$.”

From Fig. 2 we see that, for negative values of $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)}$, the $\Sigma$s are bound in matter and the effective mesonic interaction would be more attractive as the potential gets deeper. As a result, the EoS gets softer with a more attractive $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)}$ [see Fig. 1(a)]. For $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)} \geq 0$, the $\Sigma$s are no longer bound to matter and the effective mesonic interaction becomes more and more repulsive with increasing $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)}$. This should, in principle, stiffen the EoS. However, for the “$\sigma\omega\rho$” case, up to neutron star densities, i.e., about $n_B \lesssim (4-7)n_0$, $\Sigma$s are not present in the matter when the potential is repulsive and hence the EoS up to these densities becomes insensitive to $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)}$.

In Fig. 3 the particle fractions are plotted for an attractive $\Xi$ potential $U_{\Xi}^{(N)} = -30$ MeV and a repulsive potential $U_{\Xi}^{(N)} = +30$ MeV keeping $U_{\Sigma}^{(N)}$ fixed at $+30$ MeV. We see that, in the first case, i.e., when $\phi$ is not present and the potential is attractive [Fig. 3(a)], all the hyperons except the $\Sigma$s are present in the system and the $\Lambda$ hyperon dominates. When the $\Xi$ potential becomes positive [Fig. 3(b)], $\Sigma^0$ disappears and the threshold for appearance of $\Sigma^-$ shifts to much higher...
density. However $\Sigma^-$ is present in matter in this potential and it appears before $\Xi^-$. When $\phi$ is introduced into the system, for an attractive $\Xi$ potential [Fig. 3(c)], again $\Sigma^-$ and $\Xi^-$ are present along with $\Lambda$. However, the difference from Fig. 3(b), i.e., the "$\sigma\omega\rho$" case and $U^{(N)}_{\Xi^0} > 0$, is that here, $\Xi^-$ appears much before $\Sigma^-$. In the last case [Fig. 3(d)], we see that, as a result of the combined effects of inclusion of $\phi$ and repulsive potentials, only the $\Lambda$ and $\Sigma^-$ are present in the system. From both Figs. 2 and 3, we see that the inclusion of $\phi$ meson decreases the density of hyperons. Since $\phi$ is a strange particle, further strangeness is suppressed and, as a result, the hyperon densities are reduced compared to the "$\sigma\omega\rho$" case.

III. STATIC AND ROTATING STARS

In this section we are going to discuss the properties of static and rotating axisymmetric stars using the EoS which we have studied in the last section. The EoS without $\phi$ meson is softer compared to that with $\phi$ meson. So we do not discuss the EoS without $\phi$ because it results in less maximum mass.

The stationary, axisymmetric spacetime used to model the compact stars are defined through the metric

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu + \rho} dt^2 + e^{2\nu}(dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2) + e^{\nu - \rho} r^2 \sin^2 \theta (d\phi - \omega dt)^2,$$

where $\alpha$, $\gamma$, $\rho$, and $\omega$ are the gravitational potentials which depend on $r$ and $\theta$ only.

In this work we adopt the procedure of Komatsu et al. [44] to look into the observable properties of static and rotating stars. Einstein’s equations for the three gravitational potentials $\gamma$, $\rho$, and $\omega$ can be solved by using the Green’s function technique. The fourth potential $\alpha$ can be determined by using these three potentials. Once these potentials are determined one can calculate all the observable quantities using those. The solution of the potentials and hence the determination of physical quantities is numerically quite an involved process. For this purpose the RNS code [45] is used in this work. This code, developed by Stergoïas, is very efficient in calculating the rotating star observables.

We discuss the properties of static stars first. In Fig. 4 we plot the mass-radius curves of static stars using the EoS with "$\sigma\omega\rho\phi$." A plot for the pure nuclear matter case is also given for comparison (uppermost curve of both panels). The maximum mass of the pure nuclear matter star in the static case is $1.92M_\odot$ with a radius of $11.24$ km. We found that the mass of a hyperonic star becomes maximum for $U^{(N)}_{\Sigma^0} = +40$ MeV and $U^{(N)}_{\Xi^0} > 0$ MeV. Hence, in Figs. 4 and 5 we have shown the effect of these potentials on the maximum mass of neutron stars by fixing one of the potentials at $+40$ MeV and varying the other. The left panel [i.e., Fig. 4(a)] corresponds to $U^{(N)}_{\Xi^0} = +40$ MeV and $U^{(N)}_{\Sigma^0}$ varying from $-40$ MeV to $+40$ MeV. In the right panel [i.e., in Fig. 4(b)] it is the other way around. From Fig. 4(a) one can see that the maximum mass of the star increases with $U^{(N)}_{\Sigma^0}$. For $U^{(N)}_{\Xi^0} = +40$ MeV the maximum mass is $1.62M_\odot$ with a radius of $10.82$ km. The central energy density of such a star is $\epsilon_c = 2.46 \times 10^{15}$ gm/cm$^3$. This is a reflection of the EoS shown in Fig. 1(a), which shows that the EoS becomes stiffer with increasing $U^{(N)}_{\Sigma^0}$. However, as seen from Fig. 4(b), the maximum mass of static stars is insensitive to $U^{(N)}_{\Xi^0}$, which should be obvious from Fig. 1(b) because the EoS is independent of the cascade potential. Furthermore, from Fig. 3(d) one can see that there is no cascade present in the medium. So the insensitivity of the EoS and hence the maximum mass, towards the cascade potential is expected. One should note that the maximum mass we obtain for the static stars is less than the observed mass of PSR.
J0348 + 0432. So the static stars with hyperons in the IUFSU parameter set cannot incorporate a maximum mass $\sim 2M_\odot$. However, since both of the observed $\sim 2M_\odot$ stars are pulsars, it would be a better idea to compare the observations with results from the rotating stars, which we do in the next part.

In Fig. 5 we plot the mass-radius curves for stars rotating with Keplerian velocities, for two cases. In Fig. 5(a) we fix the cascade potential at $U^{(N)}_\Sigma = +40$ MeV and vary $U^{(N)}_\Xi$ from $-40$ MeV to $+40$ MeV. In Fig. 5(b) it is the other way around. The pure nuclear matter case is also shown in the uppermost curve. The maximum mass for the pure nucleonic star is 2.29$M_\odot$ with a radius of 15.31 km. We see that the maximum mass obtained for a rotating star with hyperonic core is 1.93$M_\odot$ with a radius of 14.7 km in the Keplerian limit with angular velocity $\Omega = 0.86 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$, for $U^{(N)}_\Sigma = +40$ MeV and $U^{(N)}_\Xi \geq 0$. As in the case of a static sequence, we see that the maximum mass for the rotating case also increases with $U^{(N)}_\Xi$ as we go towards more positive values of this potential. At $U^{(N)}_\Xi = -40$ MeV we get a maximum mass of 1.79$M_\odot$ whereas for $U^{(N)}_\Xi = +40$ MeV the maximum mass is 1.93$M_\odot$. The effect of $U^{(N)}_\Xi$ is much less significant on the maximum mass. From $U^{(N)}_\Xi = -40$ MeV to $U^{(N)}_\Xi = +40$ MeV, mass is changed only by $\Delta M = 0.03M_\odot$.

In order to have a look at the composition of the maximum mass star, we plot the particle densities as a function of radius along the equator in Fig. 6. For $U^{(N)}_\Sigma = 0$ and $U^{(N)}_\Xi = +40$ MeV, we see that a fair amount of hyperons are present in the core. There are $\Lambda, \Sigma^-$, and $\Xi^-$ present. Another interesting observation is that, near the core, the density of $\Lambda$ is much more compared with that of protons and it continues up to a distance of about 5 km from the center.

### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we studied the static and rotating axisymmetric stars with hyperons by using the IUFSU model. The original FSUGold parameter set has been very successful in describing the properties of finite nuclei. With the discovery of highly massive neutron stars the reliability of this model was questioned. It was then revised in the form of IUFSU to accommodate such highly massive stars leaving the low-density finite nuclear properties unchanged. In this work we studied this new parameter set in the context of the possibility of having a hyperonic core in such massive stars.

We included the full octet of baryons in IUFSU. The EoS gets softened due to the inclusion of hyperons whereas the inclusion of the $\phi$ meson makes the EoS stiffer. We also
investigated the influence of the $\Sigma$ and $\Xi$ potentials on the EoS.

For static stars with a hyperonic core we get a maximum mass of $1.62M_\odot$. So IUFSU with hyperons cannot reproduce the observed mass of static stars. However, because the observed $\sim 2M_\odot$ neutron stars are both pulsars, we compare the results in the rotating limit. In the Keplerian limit we get a maximum mass of $1.93M_\odot$, which is within the $3\sigma$ limit of the mass of PSR J0348 + 0432 and the $1\sigma$ limit of the earlier observation of PSR J1614–2230. We looked at the particle densities inside the star having the maximum mass and found that a considerable amount of hyperons are present near the core. Therefore, our results are consistent with the recent observations of highly massive pulsars confirming the presence of hyperons in the core of such massive neutron stars.

To conclude, the IUFSU model, which reproduces the properties of finite nuclei quite successfully, also reproduces the recent observations of $\sim 2M_\odot$ stars, in the case of stars having exotic cores and rotating in the Keplerian limit. It will be interesting to see whether such a star can hold a quark core. Related work is in progress.
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